



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. 097400,366	FILING DATE 12/09/99	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR EVANS	R	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ID
-------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------	---	---------------------------

HM12/0803

Stephen E. Reiter  
Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich LLP  
4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE  
SUITE 1600  
SAN DIEGO CA 92121-2189

EXAMINER WOITACH, J
------------------------

ART. UNIT 1631	PAPER NUMBER
-------------------	--------------

08/03/00

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
9/458,346	12/9/99	Evans, R. m	SALK 2270-2

EXAMINER	
Joseph Woltach	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1632	10

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Joseph Woltach (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Stephen Reiter (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 8-2-00

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Discussed claim language to adjust for allowability. Discussed scope of invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature